

(Research, Development and Acquisition) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

NOV 1 2013

The Honorable Robert Menendez United States Senate Washington, DC 20510-3001

Dear Senator Menendez:

Thank you for your letter of October 16, 2013, expressing your strong concern regarding the Navy's recent award of the Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) contract.

I share your conviction that the award of the AMDR program is critical to our nation's security, as well as to maintain and grow the defense-related technology sector, and to strengthen the defense industrial base. I want to reassure you that the Navy conducted a rigorous and detailed evaluation of all proposals consistent with the criteria set forth in the Request for Proposals, including cost, in accordance with applicable law and regulation. In the final analysis, the Raytheon proposal provided the best value to the Department of the Navy considering all stated criteria. Subsequent to the award, the Navy conducted post-award industry debriefs and each offeror was provided the complete record of the Navy's evaluation of its proposal.

Regarding your request for a briefing on the rationale for the AMDR source selection, if Lockheed Martin provides the Navy with written consent, then the Navy could provide you with the written debriefing material that it provided to Lockheed Martin, which describes the evaluation of Lockheed Martin's proposal, and provides the basis for the selection decision. Alternatively, you could request those written debriefing materials directly from Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin has filed a protest of the source selection decision with the Government Accountability Office (GAO). The Navy therefore cannot discuss the source selection decision in any further detail while the GAO protest is pending. Moreover, the briefing you requested would be likely to require disclosure of source selection information, and contractor bid and proposal information, which the Navy is presently precluded from disclosing by statutes such as the Trade Secrets Act and the Procurement Integrity Act and implementing regulations.

Thank you for your continued support of the Navy. I appreciate your taking the time to share your thoughts and concerns on the AMDR program. A similar response is also being provided to each of your colleagues. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,



(Research, Development and Acquisition) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

NOV 1 2013

The Honorable Jeff Chiesa United States Senate Washington, DC 20510-3003

Dear Senator Chiesa:

Thank you for your letter of October 16, 2013, expressing your strong concern regarding the Navy's recent award of the Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) contract.

I share your conviction that the award of the AMDR program is critical to our nation's security, as well as to maintain and grow the defense-related technology sector, and to strengthen the defense industrial base. I want to reassure you that the Navy conducted a rigorous and detailed evaluation of all proposals consistent with the criteria set forth in the Request for Proposals, including cost, in accordance with applicable law and regulation. In the final analysis, the Raytheon proposal provided the best value to the Department of the Navy considering all stated criteria. Subsequent to the award, the Navy conducted post-award industry debriefs and each offeror was provided the complete record of the Navy's evaluation of its proposal.

Regarding your request for a briefing on the rationale for the AMDR source selection, if Lockheed Martin provides the Navy with written consent, then the Navy could provide you with the written debriefing material that it provided to Lockheed Martin, which describes the evaluation of Lockheed Martin's proposal, and provides the basis for the selection decision. Alternatively, you could request those written debriefing materials directly from Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin has filed a protest of the source selection decision with the Government Accountability Office (GAO). The Navy therefore cannot discuss the source selection decision in any further detail while the GAO protest is pending. Moreover, the briefing you requested would be likely to require disclosure of source selection information, and contractor bid and proposal information, which the Navy is presently precluded from disclosing by statutes such as the Trade Secrets Act and the Procurement Integrity Act and implementing regulations.

Thank you for your continued support of the Navy. I appreciate your taking the time to share your thoughts and concerns on the AMDR program. A similar response is also being provided to each of your colleagues. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,



(Research, Development and Acquisition) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

NOV 1 2013

The Honorable Jon Runyan House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-3003

Dear Representative Runyan:

Thank you for your letter of October 16, 2013, expressing your strong concern regarding the Navy's recent award of the Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) contract.

I share your conviction that the award of the AMDR program is critical to our nation's security, as well as to maintain and grow the defense-related technology sector, and to strengthen the defense industrial base. I want to reassure you that the Navy conducted a rigorous and detailed evaluation of all proposals consistent with the criteria set forth in the Request for Proposals, including cost, in accordance with applicable law and regulation. In the final analysis, the Raytheon proposal provided the best value to the Department of the Navy considering all stated criteria. Subsequent to the award, the Navy conducted post-award industry debriefs and each offeror was provided the complete record of the Navy's evaluation of its proposal.

Regarding your request for a briefing on the rationale for the AMDR source selection, if Lockheed Martin provides the Navy with written consent, then the Navy could provide you with the written debriefing material that it provided to Lockheed Martin, which describes the evaluation of Lockheed Martin's proposal, and provides the basis for the selection decision. Alternatively, you could request those written debriefing materials directly from Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin has filed a protest of the source selection decision with the Government Accountability Office (GAO). The Navy therefore cannot discuss the source selection decision in any further detail while the GAO protest is pending. Moreover, the briefing you requested would be likely to require disclosure of source selection information, and contractor bid and proposal information, which the Navy is presently precluded from disclosing by statutes such as the Trade Secrets Act and the Procurement Integrity Act and implementing regulations.

Thank you for your continued support of the Navy. I appreciate your taking the time to share your thoughts and concerns on the AMDR program. A similar response is also being provided to each of your colleagues. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,



(Research, Development and Acquisition) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

NOV 1 2013

The Honorable Robert E. Andrews House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-3001

Dear Representative Andrews:

Thank you for your letter of October 16, 2013, expressing your strong concern regarding the Navy's recent award of the Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) contract.

I share your conviction that the award of the AMDR program is critical to our nation's security, as well as to maintain and grow the defense-related technology sector, and to strengthen the defense industrial base. I want to reassure you that the Navy conducted a rigorous and detailed evaluation of all proposals consistent with the criteria set forth in the Request for Proposals, including cost, in accordance with applicable law and regulation. In the final analysis, the Raytheon proposal provided the best value to the Department of the Navy considering all stated criteria. Subsequent to the award, the Navy conducted post-award industry debriefs and each offeror was provided the complete record of the Navy's evaluation of its proposal.

Regarding your request for a briefing on the rationale for the AMDR source selection, if Lockheed Martin provides the Navy with written consent, then the Navy could provide you with the written debriefing material that it provided to Lockheed Martin, which describes the evaluation of Lockheed Martin's proposal, and provides the basis for the selection decision. Alternatively, you could request those written debriefing materials directly from Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin has filed a protest of the source selection decision with the Government Accountability Office (GAO). The Navy therefore cannot discuss the source selection decision in any further detail while the GAO protest is pending. Moreover, the briefing you requested would be likely to require disclosure of source selection information, and contractor bid and proposal information, which the Navy is presently precluded from disclosing by statutes such as the Trade Secrets Act and the Procurement Integrity Act and implementing regulations.

Thank you for your continued support of the Navy. I appreciate your taking the time to share your thoughts and concerns on the AMDR program. A similar response is also being provided to each of your colleagues. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,



(Research, Development and Acquisition) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

NOV 1 2013

The Honorable Frank A. LoBiondo House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-3002

Dear Representative LoBiondo:

Thank you for your letter of October 16, 2013, expressing your strong concern regarding the Navy's recent award of the Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) contract.

I share your conviction that the award of the AMDR program is critical to our nation's security, as well as to maintain and grow the defense-related technology sector, and to strengthen the defense industrial base. I want to reassure you that the Navy conducted a rigorous and detailed evaluation of all proposals consistent with the criteria set forth in the Request for Proposals, including cost, in accordance with applicable law and regulation. In the final analysis, the Raytheon proposal provided the best value to the Department of the Navy considering all stated criteria. Subsequent to the award, the Navy conducted post-award industry debriefs and each offeror was provided the complete record of the Navy's evaluation of its proposal.

Regarding your request for a briefing on the rationale for the AMDR source selection, if Lockheed Martin provides the Navy with written consent, then the Navy could provide you with the written debriefing material that it provided to Lockheed Martin, which describes the evaluation of Lockheed Martin's proposal, and provides the basis for the selection decision. Alternatively, you could request those written debriefing materials directly from Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin has filed a protest of the source selection decision with the Government Accountability Office (GAO). The Navy therefore cannot discuss the source selection decision in any further detail while the GAO protest is pending. Moreover, the briefing you requested would be likely to require disclosure of source selection information, and contractor bid and proposal information, which the Navy is presently precluded from disclosing by statutes such as the Trade Secrets Act and the Procurement Integrity Act and implementing regulations.

Thank you for your continued support of the Navy. I appreciate your taking the time to share your thoughts and concerns on the AMDR program. A similar response is also being provided to each of your colleagues. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,



(Research, Development and Acquisition) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

NOV 1 2013

The Honorable Albio Sires House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-3008

Dear Representative Sires:

Thank you for your letter of October 16, 2013, expressing your strong concern regarding the Navy's recent award of the Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) contract.

I share your conviction that the award of the AMDR program is critical to our nation's security, as well as to maintain and grow the defense-related technology sector, and to strengthen the defense industrial base. I want to reassure you that the Navy conducted a rigorous and detailed evaluation of all proposals consistent with the criteria set forth in the Request for Proposals, including cost, in accordance with applicable law and regulation. In the final analysis, the Raytheon proposal provided the best value to the Department of the Navy considering all stated criteria. Subsequent to the award, the Navy conducted post-award industry debriefs and each offeror was provided the complete record of the Navy's evaluation of its proposal.

Regarding your request for a briefing on the rationale for the AMDR source selection, if Lockheed Martin provides the Navy with written consent, then the Navy could provide you with the written debriefing material that it provided to Lockheed Martin, which describes the evaluation of Lockheed Martin's proposal, and provides the basis for the selection decision. Alternatively, you could request those written debriefing materials directly from Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin has filed a protest of the source selection decision with the Government Accountability Office (GAO). The Navy therefore cannot discuss the source selection decision in any further detail while the GAO protest is pending. Moreover, the briefing you requested would be likely to require disclosure of source selection information, and contractor bid and proposal information, which the Navy is presently precluded from disclosing by statutes such as the Trade Secrets Act and the Procurement Integrity Act and implementing regulations.

Thank you for your continued support of the Navy. I appreciate your taking the time to share your thoughts and concerns on the AMDR program. A similar response is also being provided to each of your colleagues. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,



(Research, Development and Acquisition) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

NOV 1 2013

The Honorable Chris Smith House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-3004

Dear Representative Smith:

Thank you for your letter of October 16, 2013, expressing your strong concern regarding the Navy's recent award of the Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) contract.

I share your conviction that the award of the AMDR program is critical to our nation's security, as well as to maintain and grow the defense-related technology sector, and to strengthen the defense industrial base. I want to reassure you that the Navy conducted a rigorous and detailed evaluation of all proposals consistent with the criteria set forth in the Request for Proposals, including cost, in accordance with applicable law and regulation. In the final analysis, the Raytheon proposal provided the best value to the Department of the Navy considering all stated criteria. Subsequent to the award, the Navy conducted post-award industry debriefs and each offeror was provided the complete record of the Navy's evaluation of its proposal.

Regarding your request for a briefing on the rationale for the AMDR source selection, if Lockheed Martin provides the Navy with written consent, then the Navy could provide you with the written debriefing material that it provided to Lockheed Martin, which describes the evaluation of Lockheed Martin's proposal, and provides the basis for the selection decision. Alternatively, you could request those written debriefing materials directly from Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin has filed a protest of the source selection decision with the Government Accountability Office (GAO). The Navy therefore cannot discuss the source selection decision in any further detail while the GAO protest is pending. Moreover, the briefing you requested would be likely to require disclosure of source selection information, and contractor bid and proposal information, which the Navy is presently precluded from disclosing by statutes such as the Trade Secrets Act and the Procurement Integrity Act and implementing regulations.

Thank you for your continued support of the Navy. I appreciate your taking the time to share your thoughts and concerns on the AMDR program. A similar response is also being provided to each of your colleagues. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,



(Research, Development and Acquisition) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

NOV 1 2013

The Honorable Donald M. Payne, Jr. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-3010

Dear Representative Payne:

Thank you for your letter of October 16, 2013, expressing your strong concern regarding the Navy's recent award of the Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) contract.

I share your conviction that the award of the AMDR program is critical to our nation's security, as well as to maintain and grow the defense-related technology sector, and to strengthen the defense industrial base. I want to reassure you that the Navy conducted a rigorous and detailed evaluation of all proposals consistent with the criteria set forth in the Request for Proposals, including cost, in accordance with applicable law and regulation. In the final analysis, the Raytheon proposal provided the best value to the Department of the Navy considering all stated criteria. Subsequent to the award, the Navy conducted post-award industry debriefs and each offeror was provided the complete record of the Navy's evaluation of its proposal.

Regarding your request for a briefing on the rationale for the AMDR source selection, if Lockheed Martin provides the Navy with written consent, then the Navy could provide you with the written debriefing material that it provided to Lockheed Martin, which describes the evaluation of Lockheed Martin's proposal, and provides the basis for the selection decision. Alternatively, you could request those written debriefing materials directly from Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin has filed a protest of the source selection decision with the Government Accountability Office (GAO). The Navy therefore cannot discuss the source selection decision in any further detail while the GAO protest is pending. Moreover, the briefing you requested would be likely to require disclosure of source selection information, and contractor bid and proposal information, which the Navy is presently precluded from disclosing by statutes such as the Trade Secrets Act and the Procurement Integrity Act and implementing regulations.

Thank you for your continued support of the Navy. I appreciate your taking the time to share your thoughts and concerns on the AMDR program. A similar response is also being provided to each of your colleagues. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,



(Research, Development and Acquisition) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

NOV 1 2013

The Honorable Leonard Lance House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-3007

Dear Representative Lance:

Thank you for your letter of October 16, 2013, expressing your strong concern regarding the Navy's recent award of the Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) contract.

I share your conviction that the award of the AMDR program is critical to our nation's security, as well as to maintain and grow the defense-related technology sector, and to strengthen the defense industrial base. I want to reassure you that the Navy conducted a rigorous and detailed evaluation of all proposals consistent with the criteria set forth in the Request for Proposals, including cost, in accordance with applicable law and regulation. In the final analysis, the Raytheon proposal provided the best value to the Department of the Navy considering all stated criteria. Subsequent to the award, the Navy conducted post-award industry debriefs and each offeror was provided the complete record of the Navy's evaluation of its proposal.

Regarding your request for a briefing on the rationale for the AMDR source selection, if Lockheed Martin provides the Navy with written consent, then the Navy could provide you with the written debriefing material that it provided to Lockheed Martin, which describes the evaluation of Lockheed Martin's proposal, and provides the basis for the selection decision. Alternatively, you could request those written debriefing materials directly from Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin has filed a protest of the source selection decision with the Government Accountability Office (GAO). The Navy therefore cannot discuss the source selection decision in any further detail while the GAO protest is pending. Moreover, the briefing you requested would be likely to require disclosure of source selection information, and contractor bid and proposal information, which the Navy is presently precluded from disclosing by statutes such as the Trade Secrets Act and the Procurement Integrity Act and implementing regulations.

Thank you for your continued support of the Navy. I appreciate your taking the time to share your thoughts and concerns on the AMDR program. A similar response is also being provided to each of your colleagues. Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,